

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/754,812	SCHULTE ET AL	
	Examiner Gregory J. Strimbu	Art Unit 3634	
All Participants: (1) <u>Gregory J. Strimbu</u> . (2) <u>Matthew Jorge</u> .	Status of Application: _____		
Date of Interview: <u>12 March 2010</u>	Time: _____		
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 31, 38, 42, 43, 45, 48, 50, 54, 55-63 and 66			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Suggested changes to more accurately and patentably define the applicant's invention and to overcome 35 USC 112 informalities. See the examiner's amendment for the changes made</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			